

$A(t, B_t)$ is not a semimartingale

by

L.C.G. Rogers and J.B. Walsh

1. Introduction. Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} , $B_0 = 0$, and for each real x define

$$A(t, x) \equiv \int_0^t I_{(-\infty, x]}(B_s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^x L(t, y) dy,$$

where $\{L(t, y) : t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the local time process of B . The process $A(t, x)$ enters naturally into the study of the Brownian excursion filtration (see Rogers & Walsh [1],[2], and Walsh [3]). In [2], it was necessary to consider the occupation density of the process $Y_t \equiv A(t, B_t)$, which would have been easy if Y were a semimartingale; it is not, and the aim of this paper is to prove this.

To state the result, we need to set up some notation. Let $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be the process $A(t, B_t) - \int_0^t L(u, B_u) dB_u$, and define for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Delta_j^n \equiv X(j2^{-n}) - X((j-1)2^{-n}), \quad j \leq 2^n,$$

and

$$V_p^n \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} |\Delta_j^n X|^p.$$

THEOREM 1. *For any $p > 4/3$,*

$$(1) \quad V_p^n \xrightarrow[\text{a.s. } 0]{L^1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

For any $p < 4/3$,

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_p^n = +\infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This proves conclusively that X (and hence Y) cannot be a semimartingale, because if it were, it could be written as $X = M + A$, where M is a local martingale, A is a finite-variation process (both continuous since X is; see Rogers & Williams [4], VI.40). Now since $V_2^n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, M must be zero, and $X = A$; but $\overline{\lim} V_1^n = +\infty$ rules out the possibility that X is finite-variation, as we shall see.

In outline, the proof runs as follows. Firstly, we estimate $E|\Delta_j^n X|^p$ above and deduce from this that $EV_p^n \rightarrow 0$ for any $p > 4/3$; in fact, the L^1 convergence is sufficiently rapid that $V_p^n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. Next we estimate $E|\Delta_j^n X|^p$ below, and combine the estimates to prove that $EV_{4/3}^n$ is bounded away from 0 and from infinity. The upper

bound allows us to prove that $\{V_{4/3}^n : n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable, and hence that $P(\limsup V_{4/3}^n > 0) > 0$. From this, by Hölder's inequality, we prove that for any $p < 4/3$, $P[\limsup V_p^n = +\infty] > 0$. Finally, an application of the Blumenthal 0–1 law allows us to conclude.

In the forthcoming paper, we analyse the exact 4/3-variation of X completely, and prove that it is $\gamma \int_0^t L(s, B_s)^{2/3} ds$, from which the present conclusions (and more) follow. (Here, γ is $4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(7/6)E(\int L(1, x)^2 dx)^{2/3}$.) The proof of this is a great deal more intricate, however, and this paper shows how to achieve the lesser result with less effort.

2. Upper bounds. To lighten the notation, we are going to perform a scaling so that there is only one parameter involved. It is elementary to prove that for any $c > 0$, the following identities in law hold:

$$(3) \quad (L(t, x))_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \left(cL\left(\frac{t}{c^2}, \frac{x}{c}\right) \right)_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} ;$$

$$(4) \quad (A(t, x))_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \left(c^2A\left(\frac{t}{c^2}, \frac{x}{c}\right) \right)_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} ;$$

$$(5) \quad (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} (c^2 X_{t/c^2})_{t \geq 0} .$$

Hence $V_p^n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N |X_j - X_{j-1}|^p$, where $N \equiv 2^n$. We can write the increment $X_{j+1} - X_j$ in the form

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{j+1} - X_j &= \int_j^{j+1} I_{\{B_u \leq B_{j+1}\}} du + \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} L(j, x) dx - \int_j^{j+1} L(s, B_s) dB_s \\ &= \int_j^{j+1} I_{\{B_u \leq B_{j+1}\}} du + \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} \{L(j, x) \\ &\quad - L(j, B_j)\} dx - \int_j^{j+1} \{L(s, B_s) - L(j, B_j)\} dB_s . \end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_j^{j+1} I_{\{B_u \leq B_{j+1}\}} du &\equiv Z_{j,1}, \\ \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} \{L(j, x) - L(j, B_j)\} dx &\equiv Z_{j,2}, \\ \int_j^{j+1} \{L(s, B_s) - L(j, B_j)\} dB_s &\equiv Z_{j,3}, \\ \int_j^{j+1} \{L(j, B_s) - L(j, B_j)\} dB_s &\equiv Z_{j,4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(7) \quad X_{j+1} - X_j = Z_{j,1} + Z_{j,2} - Z_{j,3} - Z_{j,4}.$$

We now estimate various terms. For $p \geq 2$, with c denoting a variable constant

$$(i) \quad |Z_{j,1}| \equiv \left| \int_j^{j+1} I_{\{B_u \leq B_{j+1}\}} du \right| \leq 1;$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} E|Z_{j,3}|^p &\equiv E \left| \int_j^{j+1} (L(j, B_s) - L(s, B_s)) dB_s \right|^p \\ &\leq cE \left(\int_j^{j+1} (L(j, B_s) - L(s, B_s))^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq cE \int_j^{j+1} |L(j, B_s) - L(s, B_s)|^p ds \\ &= c \int_0^1 EL(u, 0)^p du, \end{aligned}$$

by reversing the Brownian motion from (s, B_s) ;

$$\leq c.$$

(iii) By Tanaka's formula,

$$L(t, x) - L(t, 0) = |B_t - x| - |x| - |B_t| - \int_0^t (\text{sgn}(B_s - x) - \text{sgn}(B_s)) dB_s,$$

and

$$||B_t - x| - |x| - |B_t|| \leq 2(|B_t| \wedge |x|),$$

so we have the estimation

$$E|L(t, x) - L(t, 0)|^p \leq c\{|x|^p \wedge t^{p/2} + E \left| \int_0^t I_{\{0 < B_s < |x|\}} ds \right|^{p/2}\};$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \int_0^t I_{\{0 < B_s < |x|\}} ds \right|^{p/2} &= E \left| \int_0^{|x|} L(t, y) dy \right|^{p/2} \\ &= t^{p/2} E \left(\int_0^{|x|/\sqrt{t}} L(1, y) dy \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

using the scaling relationship (3);

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq t^{p/2} \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{p/2-1} E \int_0^{|x|/\sqrt{t}} L(1, y)^{p/2} dy \\ &\leq ct^{p/2} \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{p/2-1} \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= c|x|^{p/2} t^{p/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $p \geq 2$

$$(8) \quad E|L(t, x) - L(t, 0)|^p \leq c\{|x|^p \wedge t^{p/2} + |x|^{p/2}t^{p/4}\}.$$

$$(iv) \quad E|Z_{j,2}|^p \equiv \left| \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} \{L(j, x) - L(j, B_j)\} dx \right|^p \\ = E \left| \int_0^{W_1} \{L(j, x) - L(j, 0)\} dx \right|^p,$$

where W is a Brownian motion independent of $(B_s)_{0 \leq s \leq j}$;

$$= E \left| \int_0^{|W_1|} \{L(j, x) - L(j, 0)\} dx \right|^p \\ \leq E \left(\int_0^\infty I_{(x \leq |W_1|)} |L(j, x) - L(j, 0)|^p dx |W_1|^{p-1} \right) \\ = \int_0^\infty dx E|L(j, x) - L(j, 0)|^p E(|W_1|^{p-1}; |W_1| > x),$$

and the function $\Phi_p(x) \equiv E(|W_1|^{p-1}; |W_1| > x)$ decreases rapidly, so

$$\leq c \int_0^\infty ((|x| \wedge \sqrt{j})^p + |x|^{p/2}j^{p/4}) \Phi_p(x) dx, \quad \text{by (iii)} \\ \leq c(1 + j^{p/4}).$$

$$(v) \quad E|Z_{j,4}|^p \equiv E \left| \int_j^{j+1} (L(j, B_s) - L(j, B_j)) dB_s \right|^p \\ \leq cE \left(\int_0^1 (L(j, W_s) - L(j, 0))^2 ds \right)^{p/2},$$

where W is a Brownian motion independent of $(B_s)_{0 \leq s \leq j}$;

$$\leq cE \int_0^1 |L(j, W_s) - L(j, 0)|^p ds \\ = c \int g_1(y) E|L(j, y) - L(j, 0)|^p dy,$$

where g_1 is the Green function of Brownian motion on $[0, 1]$;

$$\leq c \int g_1(y) \{(|y| \wedge \sqrt{j})^p + |y|^{p/2}j^{p/4}\} dy, \quad \text{by (iii);} \\ \leq c(1 + j^{p/4}).$$

Thus of the four terms in (7) making up $X_{j+1} - X_j$, the p^{th} moments of $Z_{j,1}$ and $Z_{j,3}$ are bounded, and the p^{th} moments of $Z_{j,2}$ and $Z_{j,4}$ grow at most like $1 + j^{p/4}$. (Notice that the bounds for the p^{th} moments, proved only for $p \geq 2$, extend to all $p > 0$ by Hölder's inequality.) We shall soon show that this is the true growth rate. Firstly,

though, we complete the upper bound estimation by replacing $X_{j+1} - X_j$ by something more tractable, namely

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_j &\equiv \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} L(j, x) dx - \int_j^{j+1} L(j, B_s) dB_s \\ &\equiv \int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} \{L(j, x) - L(j, B_j)\} dx - \int_j^{j+1} \{L(j, B_s) - L(j, B_j)\} dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

To see that this is negligibly different from $X_{j+1} - X_j$, observe the elementary inequality valid for all $p \geq 1$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(10) \quad ||b|^p - |a|^p| \leq |b - a|p(|a|^{p-1} \vee |b|^{p-1}).$$

Now since $\xi_j = Z_{j,2} - Z_{j,4} = X_{j+1} - X_j - Z_{j,1} + Z_{j,3}$, we conclude from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} E||\xi_j|^p - |X_{j+1} - X_j|^p| &\leq pE\{|Z_{j,1} - Z_{j,3}|(|\xi_j|^{p-1} \vee |X_{j+1} - X_j|^{p-1})\} \\ &\leq p(E|Z_{j,1} - Z_{j,3}|^a)^{1/a} (E\{|\xi_j|^{b(p-1)} + |X_{j+1} - X_j|^{b(p-1)}\})^{1/b} \\ &\quad \text{for any } a, b > 1 \text{ such that } a^{-1} + b^{-1} = 1; \\ &\leq c(1 + j^{(p-1)/4}), \end{aligned}$$

using the estimates (i), (ii), (iv) and (v). Thus since $V_p^n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N |X_j - X_{j-1}|^p$, we have for $p > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E|N^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (|\xi_j|^p - |X_{j+1} - X_j|^p)| \\ \leq cN^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (1 + j^{(p-1)/4}) \\ \leq c(1 + N^{-3(p-1)/4}) \\ \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so for each $p > 1$, $V_p^n - \tilde{V}_p^n \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_p^n &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \int_{B((j-1)2^{-n})}^{B(j2^{-n})} L((j-1)2^{-n}, x) dx - \int_{(j-1)2^{-n}}^{j2^{-n}} L((j-1)2^{-n}, B_s) dB_s \right|^p \\ &\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N |\xi_{j-1}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, we shall concentrate on \tilde{V}_p^n , that is, on the ξ_j . Notice that we can say immediately that for $p > 4/3$

$$\begin{aligned} EV_p^n &= N^{-p} E \sum_{j=1}^N |X_j - X_{j-1}|^p \\ &\leq cN^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N (1 + j^{p/4}) \\ &\leq CN^{-p}(1 + N^{1+p/4}) \\ &\leq cN^{-3p/4+1} \end{aligned}$$

so that not only does $V_p^n \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 , but also the convergence is geometrically fast in n , so there is even almost sure convergence. This proves the statement (1) of Theorem 1.

3. Lower bounds. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} E(\xi_j | \mathcal{F}_j) &= E\left[\int_{B_j}^{B_{j+1}} L(j, x) dx | \mathcal{F}_j\right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \{L(j, B_j + x) - L(j, B_j - x)\} \bar{\Phi}(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\Phi}(x) \equiv P(B_1 > x)$ is the tail of the standard normal distribution;

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \int_0^\infty \{L(j, x) - L(j, -x)\} \bar{\Phi}(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty (|B_j - x| - |B_j + x|) \bar{\Phi}(x) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^j I_{[-x, x]}(B_s) dB_s\right) \bar{\Phi}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

by Tanaka's formula.

We estimate the p^{th} moment of each piece in turn, the first being negligible in comparison with the second. Indeed, since $||B_j - x| - |B_j + x|| \leq 2|x|$, the first term is actually bounded, and for the second we compute

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^j I_{[-x, x]}(B_s) dB_s\right) \bar{\Phi}(x) dx = \int_0^j f(B_s) dB_s,$$

where $f(x) \equiv \int_{|x|}^\infty \bar{\Phi}(y) dy$, so that by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities, the p^{th} moment of the second term is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\int_0^j f(B_s)^2 ds\right)^{p/2} &= E\left(\int f(x)^2 L(j, x) dx\right)^{p/2} \\ &= j^{p/4} E\left(\int f(x)^2 L(1, x/\sqrt{j}) dx\right)^{p/2} \\ &\sim j^{p/4} E\left(\int f(x)^2 L(1, 0) dx\right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have for each $p \geq 1$ that

$$(11) \quad E|\xi_j|^p \geq E|E(\xi_j | \mathcal{F}_j)|^p \geq c_p j^{p/4},$$

which, combined with the bounds of §2, implies that for each $p \geq 1$ there are constants $0 < c_p < C_p < \infty$ such that for all $j \geq 0$

$$(12) \quad c_p \leq \frac{E|\xi_j|^p}{1 + j^{p/4}} \leq C_p.$$

Hence in particular

$$(13) \quad 0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} EV_{4/3}^n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} EV_{4/3}^n < \infty,$$

and for each $p < 4/3$

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} EV_p^n = +\infty,$$

making the conclusion of the Theorem look very likely.

4. The final steps. We shall begin by proving that $\{V_{4/3}^n : n \geq 0\}$ is uniformly integrable. Indeed, for each $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_p^n\|_2 &= \|N^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N |\xi_{j-1}|^p\|_2 \\ &\leq N^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N \| |\xi_{j-1}|^p \|_2 \\ &\leq cN^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^N (1 + j^{p/4}) \end{aligned}$$

by (12). Hence for $p = 4/3$, the sequence (V_p^n) is bounded in L^2 , therefore uniformly integrable. Hence

$$(15) \quad P(\limsup_n V_{4/3}^n > 0) > 0,$$

because otherwise $V_{4/3}^n \rightarrow 0$ a.s., and hence in L^1 (by uniform integrability), contradicting (13). Now define

$$V_p^n(t) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{[2^n t]} |\Delta_j^n X|^p,$$

and let

$$F_k \equiv \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-k}} |\Delta_j^n X|^{4/3} > 0 \right\},$$

an event which is $\mathcal{F}(2^{-k})$ -measurable. Notice that $F_{k+1} \subseteq F_k$; and by Brownian scaling, all the F_k have the same probability, which is positive by (15). By the Blumenthal 0–1 law, $P(F_k) = 1$ for every k , and hence for each $t > 0$

$$(16) \quad P \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{4/3}^n(t) > 0 \right] = 1.$$

Now suppose that X were of finite variation, so that there exist stopping times $T_k \uparrow 1$ such that $V_1(T_k) \equiv \uparrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_1^n(T_k) \leq k$. Choose $a > 1 > \alpha > 0$ such that $4a\alpha/3 = 1$, and let b be the conjugate index to a ($b^{-1} + a^{-1} = 1$). By Hölder's inequality,

$$V_{4/3}^n(T_k) \leq (V_1^n(T_k))^{1/a} (V_{4b(1-\alpha)/3}^n(T_k))^{1/b}$$

and since $4b(1 - \alpha)/3 > 4/3$, the second factor on the right-hand side goes to zero a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The first factor remains bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by definition of T_k . Hence $V_{4/3}^n(T_k) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is only consistent with (16) if each T_k is zero a.s., which is impossible since $T_k \uparrow 1$.

References

- [1] ROGERS, L.C.G. and WALSH, J.B. Local time and stochastic area integrals. To appear in *Ann. Prob.*
- [2] ROGERS, L.C.G. and WALSH, J.B. The intrinsic local time sheet of Brownian motion. Submitted to *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields*.
- [3] ROGERS, L.C.G. and WILLIAMS, D. *Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales, Vol.2*. Wiley, Chichester, 1987.
- [4] WALSH, J.B. Stochastic integration with respect to local time. *Seminar on Stochastic Processes*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.