

A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION IN WIENER-HOPF THEORY

by

L.C.G. Rogers and David Williams

This is a heuristic introduction to some progress with certain calculations in Wiener-Hopf theory. Further details will be presented later.

PART 1. THE CASE WHEN THERE IS ONLY ONE BOUNDARY POINT

1. Let $B = \{B(t) : t \geq 0\}$ (also written $\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$) be a Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} . The symbol \mathbb{P}_r denotes $\mathbb{P}[\cdot | B_0 = r]$, and \mathbb{E}_r denotes \mathbb{P}_r expectation. Let $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $V > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and $V < 0$ on $(-\infty, 0)$. For $t \geq 0$, define:

$$\phi_t = \int_0^t V(B_s) ds, \quad \tau_t^+ = \inf\{u : \phi_u > t\}, \quad \tau_t^- = \inf\{u : -\phi_u > t\},$$

$$Y_t^+ = B(\tau_t^+) \in [0, \infty), \quad Y_t^- = B(\tau_t^-) \in (-\infty, 0].$$

If $B_0 = x < 0$, then τ_0^+ is the half-winding time about the origin for the joint process $\{(\phi_t, B_t)\}$. Our primary concern is to calculate half-winding hitting probabilities:

$$\Pi^+(x, y) = \mathbb{P}_x^+[Y_0^+ \in dy]/dy, \quad \Pi^-(x, y) = \mathbb{P}_y^-[Y_0^- \in dx]/dx,$$

where $x < 0, y > 0$.

Back in 1963, McKean ([3]) solved this problem for the case when $V(r) = r, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. The joint process (ϕ_t, B_t) , the phase picture for McKean's resonator, is then Gaussian (as well as Markov); and McKean exploits this

for Y^+ (or Y^-) then just counts the number of visits.] Let $J_y^+ dy$ (resp., $J_x^- dx$) be the Lévy measure describing jumps made from 0 by $Y^+(Y^-)$. Define

$$(3.1) \quad m^\pm(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_0[L^\pm(t)], \quad b_r^\pm(t) = \mathbb{P}_0[Y^\pm(t) \in dr]/dr.$$

Then we have the Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\frac{\partial b_r^\pm(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} [|V_r|^{-1} b_r^\pm(t)] + m^\pm(t) J_r^\pm,$$

where $V_r = V(r)$. For the better formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation, introduce the Radon-Nikodym derivatives:

$$\beta_r^\pm(t) = b_r^\pm(t)/|V_r|, \quad \Lambda_r^\pm = J_r^\pm/|V_r|.$$

Then

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial \beta_r^\pm(t)}{\partial t} = \mathcal{G}_r^\pm \beta_r^\pm(t) + m^\pm(t) \Lambda_r^\pm, \quad \mathcal{G}_r^\pm = \frac{1}{2} |V_r|^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}.$$

If $H = \inf\{s : B_s = 0\}$, and $h_r(t) dt = \mathbb{P}_r[|\phi(H)| \in dt]$, then it is clear that for $x < 0, y > 0$,

$$\Pi^+(x, y) = \int_0^\infty h_x(t) b_y^+(t) dt,$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad \Pi^+(x, y) = \rho(x, y) V(y),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \rho(x, y) = \int_0^\infty h_x(t) \beta_y^+(t) dt.$$

The symmetry properties discovered in [2] (see Note below for a correction to [2]) make it clear that

$$(3.5) \quad \Pi^-(x, y) = V(x) \rho(x, y),$$

and that we have the following dual expression for ρ :

$$(3.6) \quad \rho(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \beta_x^-(t) h_y(t) dt.$$

where $A > 0$. Recall that

$$\phi_t = \int_0^t V(B_s) ds, \quad \tau_0^\pm = \inf\{u : \pm\phi_u > t\}, \quad Y_0^\pm = B(\tau_0^\pm).$$

With the Brownian scaling in mind, let $c > 0$, and let

$$\tilde{B}_t = c^{-1} B(ct), \quad \tilde{\phi}_t = \int_0^t V(\tilde{B}_s) ds, \quad \tilde{\tau}_0^\pm = \inf\{u : \pm\tilde{\phi}_u > t\}, \quad \tilde{Y}_0^\pm = \tilde{B}(\tilde{\tau}_0^\pm).$$

Then

$$\tilde{\phi}_t = c^{-2-\alpha} \phi(ct), \quad \tilde{\tau}_t^\pm = c^{-2} \tau_t^\pm (c^{2+\alpha} t), \quad \tilde{Y}_t^\pm = c^{-1} Y_t^\pm (c^{2+\alpha} t).$$

Suppose for a moment that $B_0 = 0$, so that B and \tilde{B} are identical in law. To avoid too heavy a notation, let us write J^+ for the Lévy measure of Y^+ at 0 (as a measure), as well as writing $J^+(\cdot)$ for the density of J^+ relative to Lebesgue measure. In short, $J^+(dx) = J^+(x)dx$.

Let $y > 0$, and let

$$T_y = T(y) = \inf\{t : Y_{t-}^+ = 0; Y_t^+ > y\}.$$

Then, for $z > y$,

$$J^+(z, \infty) / J^+(y, \infty) = \mathbb{P}_0[Y^+(T_y) > z].$$

But, with the obvious notation, $\tilde{T}(y) = c^{-2-\alpha} T(cy)$, and $\tilde{Y}^+(\tilde{T}_y) = c^{-1} Y^+(T_{cy})$.

Thus,

$$J^+(z, \infty) / J^+(y, \infty) = \mathbb{P}_0[Y^+(T_{cy}) > cz] = J^+(cz, \infty) / J^+(cy, \infty),$$

so that $J^+(y) \propto y^\eta$ for some η . Thus, for some constants ϵ and θ ,

$$\Lambda^+(y) \propto |y|^\theta, \quad \Lambda^-(x) \propto |x|^\epsilon.$$

The fundamental equation (3.9) therefore takes the form:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2A|x|^\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2|y|^\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y^2} = -R|x|^\epsilon |y|^\theta.$$

The Brownian scaling gives us further information: for $x < 0, y > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{P}[Y_0^+ \leq y | B_0 = x] = \mathbb{P}[\tilde{Y}_0^+ \leq y | \tilde{B}_0 = x] = \mathbb{P}[Y_0^+ \leq cy | B_0 = cx].$$

where $\delta = \pi/(2+\alpha)$. See §3.123 of Titchmarsh [4]. Similarly,
for $y > 0$.

$$1 = \int_0^{\infty} K^{2+\alpha} |x|^\alpha \rho(x,y) dx = \delta CK^{1-\beta} \operatorname{cosec}((1-\beta)\delta).$$

Hence β is the unique solution in $(0,1)$ of the equation.

$$\operatorname{cosec}(\beta\delta) = K \operatorname{cosec}((1-\beta)\delta),$$

and then

$$C = \pi^{-1} (2+\alpha) K^\beta \sin(\beta\delta).$$

$$M_{ij}^+(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_i L_j^+(t),$$

and let $M^+(t)$ be the $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ matrix with (i,j) th component $M_{ij}^+(t)$.

Let

$$T_\Gamma^+ = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t^+ \in \Gamma\}.$$

For $y \in \text{Int}(E^+)$, and $i \in \Gamma$, let

$$h_{yi}^+(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{P}_y [T_\Gamma^+ \leq t; Y^+(T_\Gamma^+ -) = i].$$

Let $h_y^+(t)$ be the row vector $\{h_{yi}^+(t) : i \in \Gamma\}$. Let $J_{iy}^+ dy$ be the Lévy measure describing jumps made by Y^+ from i , and let $J_{\cdot y}^+$ be the column vector $\{J_{iy}^+ : i \in \Gamma\}$. Introduce the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\Lambda_{iy}^+ = |V(y)|^{-1} J_{iy}^+.$$

Define b^+ and β^+ via

$$b_{iy}^+(t) dy = \beta_{iy}^+(t) |V(y)| dy = \mathbb{P}_i [Y^+(t) \in dy].$$

Introduce the column vectors $b_{\cdot y}^+(t)$, $\beta_{\cdot y}^+(y)$, $\Lambda_{\cdot y}^+$ in the obvious way.

The Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_{\cdot y}^+(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} [|V(y)|^{-1} b_{\cdot y}^+(t)] + M^+(t) J_{\cdot y}^+(t)$$

holds, and, as at (3.2) transforms to

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_{\cdot y}^+(t) = \mathcal{G}_y^+ \beta_{\cdot y}^+(t) + M^+(t) \Lambda_{\cdot y}^+(t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_y^+ = \frac{1}{2} |V(y)|^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \quad \text{for } y \in \text{Int}(E^+).$$

Let ${}_\Gamma p^+$ be the taboo transition function on $E^+ \times E^+$:

$${}_\Gamma p^+(t, y_1, y_2) dy_2 = \mathbb{P}_{y_1} [Y^+(t) \in dy_2; T_\Gamma^+ > t].$$

Then, the symmetry property:

$$|V(y_1)| {}_\Gamma p^+(t, y_1, y_2) = |V(y_2)| {}_\Gamma p^+(t, y_2, y_1)$$

Exactly as in the argument following (3.7), we can deduce from (5.3) and (5.1) that

$$\left(g_x^- + g_y^+ \right) \rho = \left(\int h_{x \cdot}^-(t) M^+(t) dt \right) \Lambda_{\cdot y}^+.$$

And we can again appeal to the symmetry result in [2] to obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \left(\int h_{x \cdot}^-(t) M^+(t) dt \right) \Lambda_{\cdot y}^+ = \left(\int h_{y \cdot}^+(t) M^-(t) dt \right) \Lambda_{\cdot x}^-.$$

We claim that for some constants $a_i (i \in \Gamma)$,

$$(5.7) \quad \int h_{x \cdot}^-(t) M_{\cdot i}^+(t) dt = a_i \Lambda_{ix}^-,$$

$$(5.8) \quad \int h_{y \cdot}^+(t) M_{\cdot i}^-(t) dt = a_i \Lambda_{iy}^+.$$

This is one of several claims in this paper for which full justification will have to wait to a later paper. The reader should believe our results because the analogues for symmetric Markov chains are true, and we have tested out that one can force through weak-convergence results.

Let us explain briefly a direct method of deducing (5.7) and (5.8) from (5.6) in the case when i is a regular boundary point both for Y^+ and Y^- (so that each of these processes has a true continuous local time at i). As mentioned in a Note at the start of §3, this will be the situation in all but extremely pathological cases. The point is that as $y \rightarrow i$,

$$\Lambda_{jy}^+ = o(\Lambda_{iy}^+), \quad j \neq i,$$

and

$$\lim \int h_{yj_1}^+(t) M_{j_1 j_2}^-(t) dt \begin{cases} = \infty & \text{if } j_1 = j_2 = i, \\ < \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These results allow us to infer (5.7) from (5.6).

It will simplify the algebra to assume, as we may plainly do, that the normalizations of L_i^+ and L_i^- are made compatible for each i , so that

$$a_i = 1, \quad \forall i.$$

REFERENCES

1. R.R. London, H.P. McKean, L.C.G. Rogers, D. Williams, 'A martingale approach to some Wiener-Hopf problems, I', Seminaire de Probabilites XVI, 41-67, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 920, 1982.
2. R.R. London, H.P. McKean, L.C.G. Rogers, D. Williams, 'A martingale approach to some Wiener-Hopf problems, II', *ibid.* 68-90.
3. H.P. McKean, 'A winding problem for a resonator driven by a white noise', J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 2-2, 1963, 227-35.
4. E.C. Titchmarsh, The theory of functions (Oxford, 1939).

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University College of Swansea
Singleton Park
SWANSEA SA2 8PP